DULUTH, MINNESOTA 

**CPYRGHT** 

## New CIA Director Good Choice?

BY FREDERIC W. COLLINS

Of The News-Tribune Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON - The only sensible way in which to greet the appointment of William F. Raborn as director of the Central Intelligence Agency is to hang on to one's hat and hope for the best.

It is impossible to make more. than theoretical projections as any new man may make. As a matter of fact, it is practically impossible to say with certainty whether a man has been a good; CIA director when he steps down. Objective opinions are few among insiders, and truly informed opinions almost nonexistent among outsiders.

In principle, a · CIA director should be as good or bad as a President causes him to be. The Central intelligence agency is a servant of the president. It is an extremely long extra arm, of complex mechanical structure. It is a highly-developed additional lobe of the executive brain, of subtle and intricate sensory capability. Its motor functions are supposed to be auxiliary to those of the executive brain.

On the theoretical side, the pluses and minuses of the Raborn appointment appear to come, out at a net reading, justifying a hope for the best. One of the pluses is Raborn's demonstrated attainment in science and technology. As a naval officer in the 1950s (Raborn, 59, made vice admiral in 1960 and retired in 1963). He had a leading part in the developi ient of the Polaris submarine missile system and distinguished | himself concurrently by first class administration of the program and inspired zeal in getting production. It is not always. remembered that science and technology are among the most important disciplines in the world of intelligency today.

It is generally considered a plus that Richard Helms has been named by President John-ApprovedsFortRelease52000/69406:

now retiring as director of the CIA, has been perhaps the second most important man in the agency.

President Johnson evidently considers it a plus that Raborn, in addition to a superb competence and a splendid record as an executive and administrator, gets along well with people and. especially with Congress. It is essential that Congress, or atto what kind of CIA director cast those key members directy, concerned with CIA matters, rust the director. It is the director's obligation to make sure he is not made the captive; of Congress as the consequence; of maintaining an amicable posture toward it.

> On the minus side, some rerets are expressed that a 'military man" has been named rend of CIA. Even if that is a air description of a retired dmiral of independent habit, the bjection can be no more than heoretical. There have been ome good "military men" at: he top of intelligence-Admiral koscoe Hillenkoctter and Genral Walter Bedell Smith, to! ame two. Reservations on this oint do gain some additional! eight because of potential. ivalry between the CIA and the lossoming Defense Intelligence gency. But trouble is not uaranteed.

It is possible also to have ome qualms about the party plitical considerations, which ay have influenced the choice; Raborn, who spoke for John-: n-and, rather bitingly, against oldwater-in 1964. The functiongs of the CIA should not be volved in party politics.

The most dangerous thing that an happen to the CIA is for to gain immunity from checks: nd balances within the governent. It is not the repository. all wisdom, ever. It needs. have a rein kept on it. Its: st chance to make mistakes when it is running to free. The sponsibility for avoiding that sts with President Johnson, t with Raborn. If Johnson

CPYRGH

ajntajns mastery over th a "good" director.